Why School Climate Matters for Teachers and Students

Over the past decade, education reformers have focused much of their attention on raising teacher quality. This makes sense, given the well-evidenced, large impacts teachers have on student outcomes and the wide variation in teacher effectiveness, even within the same school.¹ Yet this focus on individual teachers has caused policymakers to lose sight of the importance of the organizational contexts in which teachers work and students learn.

The quality of a school's teaching staff is greater than the sum of its parts. School environments can enable teachers to perform to their fullest potential or undercut their efforts to do so.

When we think of work environments, we often envision physical features: school facilities, instructional resources, and the surrounding neighborhood. State and district policies that shape curriculum standards, class size, and compensation also come to mind. These things matter, but so do school climate factors that are less easily observed or measured. Teachers' day-to-day experiences are influenced most directly by the culture and interpersonal environment of their schools.

School climates consist of a constellation of organizational features that shape teachers' and students' experiences. Strong school climates are characterized by supportive leadership, teacher collaboration, high expectations for students, and a collective commitment to support student learning. Teaching is a social career, and the relationships that teachers have with those who support their work in the classroom—administrators and colleagues—heavily influence teachers' satisfaction and success.

Strong professional environments foster a virtuous cycle in which teachers develop

skills faster, stay at a school longer, and improve student learning year over year. State education agencies and boards of education that are working to improve instruction across school systems would do well to recognize the interconnected nature of teachers' work and the environments in which they perform their craft.

Teacher Development

Work environments can support-or hinder-effective instruction and teachers' professional growth. Researchers and policymakers often talk about teacher ability as if it were fixed and fully portable across school contexts, but teachers' performance depends on how well matched their skills are with their students' needs and the work environment. Teachers' skills are multidimensional and do not always translate across student populations and school settings. Even the most talented and dedicated teachers will struggle to overcome a school culture that lacks a safe, supportive learning environment.

Strong work environments enable teachers to perform to their potential. Teachers are most effective in environments characterized by trust, respect, and a collective commitment to upholding school values and expectations. Organizational practices such as protecting learning time and restorative behavior policies can improve teacher effectiveness by creating conditions for success in the classroom.²

Teachers also improve faster and continue to become more effective throughout their careers in schools with supportive professional environments.³ Almost all teachers improve in their first few years on the job as they gain experience in the classroom and learn from Teachers in strong climates get better faster, stay longer, and propel their students to greater heights.

Mathew A. Kraft and Grace T. Falken

their mistakes. However, some teachers plateau after their early career, while others continue to refine their craft.4

School environments can help promote rapid improvement and extend professional growth through formal and informal opportunities for on-the-job learning. Meaningful peer collaboration helps develop teachers' skills, facilitates open feedback, and strengthens collegial relationships.⁵ Teachers get better when there is a school norm of continuous improvement that fosters innovation, collaboration, and the trust necessary to allow teachers to experiment with new instructional techniques.

Teacher Retention

Developing and retaining an effective teaching staff is among the most important avenues through which administrators can drive school improvement. Teachers disproportionately leave large, urban school districts that serve students of color for suburban, high-income districts that primarily serve white students.6 Researchers and policymakers tend to ascribe teachers' career decisions to the students they teach rather than the conditions in which they work. Evidence suggests, however, that poor working conditions in many large, low-income urban schools are the driving factor in differential turnover rates.7

Positive work environments promote teachers' sense of self-efficacy, which contributes to increased satisfaction and retention.8 Social characteristics of the school environment—such as principal leadership, collegial relationships, and school culture-have the largest effects on teachers' satisfaction.9 When administrators collaborate with teachers, grant them autonomy, and create teacher leadership positions, teachers are more likely to feel successful and stay on the job.¹⁰

Schools and students bear the cost of high teacher turnover. When teachers leave, lesseffective novice teachers often replace them.11 Turnover creates organizational instability, which in turn disrupts teachers' efforts to collaborate or coordinate instruction. Some organizational churn is normal and even healthy, but chronically high levels of turnover hinder student learning.¹² Reducing turnover and retaining effective teachers will strengthen the school environment and improve education quality for all students.

Student Achievement

Better work environments foster teachers' and students' joint success.13 School safety, order, and academic expectations affect teachers' work and their students' learning.¹⁴ A safe environment enables students and teachers to focus on learning, and an orderly environment minimizes disruptions in class.¹⁵ In Chicago, for example, the best-performing schools are more than twice as likely to have safe, orderly climates as lowperforming schools.16

High expectations for students, combined with the relevant supports, also enable student success. When consistent throughout a school and coupled with academic and social-emotional supports, setting a high bar promotes student development.¹⁷ Teachers play an important role in creating a collective culture where students believe they are capable of meeting high standards. In this way, a strong professional environment for teachers facilitates a strong learning environment for students, and vice versa. Cultivating environments where students feel like they belong and are valued members of the community further promotes both academic achievement and social-emotional development.

Directions for Policy

Efforts to strengthen school environments should begin with identifying school-specific structural or cultural weaknesses. Several state education agencies now administer annual climate surveys to teachers and students statewide to inform and track schools' improvement efforts and allow for district-level comparisons across the state (see also article, page 23).

School climate surveys are effective diagnostic tools that assess a range of features important for school organizational contexts. With the right level of specificity, climate survey data can help leaders and teachers understand, more tangibly, the nuances of their work environment and construct targeted plans to strengthen it. Depending on the setting, initiatives might include fostering productive collaboration between teachers,18 implementing socialemotional behavioral supports,¹⁹ setting high

Poor working conditions in many large, low-income urban schools are the driving factor in differential turnover rates. expectations for learners,²⁰ and engaging parents more directly in the education process.

Principals are key change agents in efforts to improve school climate.²¹ Effective principals develop a collective commitment among their staff and a collaborative work environment. School leaders accomplish this by setting and consistently upholding school norms, providing opportunities for teacher leadership, and conducting rigorous screening processes for vacant teaching positions that seek to identify both strong teachers and those who are a good fit with the culture of the school. However, principals' ability to select the best candidates for the job is often constrained by state laws and district policies. State policymakers have an important role to play in creating a legislative landscape that allows school leadership to have greater autonomy over hiring.

Creating positive school environments is the collective work of principals, teachers, and communities. Schools improve when principals facilitate an open-door culture committed to teacher development and provide teachers with specific, actionable feedback.²² Similarly, creating social and behavioral supports for students allows teachers to focus more on teaching and less on counseling and behavioral management.

When schools raise expectations for students and provide the necessary organizational support to achieve those standards, high standards become both empowering and attainable. State policy should provide school leaders with the budgetary flexibility necessary to staff their schools with an effective mix of student support positions.

Every school can improve its conditions for working and learning. For state education agencies and district leaders looking for further direction, we highly recommend *Teaching in Context: The Social Side of Education Reform*, edited by Esther Quintero and Susan Moore Johnson's *Where Teachers Thrive, Organizing Schools for Success*. These books provide detailed case studies and evidence-based recommendations for strengthening schools as organizations.

State boards of education have the power to create policy and advocate for the flexibility and resources necessary to support strong school climates. There are no easy solutions, but dedicated and sustained efforts can make the difference between schools where teachers stay and thrive versus those where they struggle and leave. ■

¹Dan Goldhaber, "Teachers Clearly Matter, but Finding Effective Teacher Policies Has Proven Challenging," in *Handbook of Research in Education Finance and Policy*, 2nd ed., Helen F. Ladd and Margaret E. Goertz, editors (New York: Routledge, 2015): 157–73; C. Kirabo Jackson, Jonah E. Rockoff, and Douglas O. Staiger, "Teacher Effects and Teacher-Related Policies," *Annual Review of Economics* 6 (2014): 801–25.

²John P. Papay and Matthew A. Kraft, "Developing Workplaces Where Teachers Stay, Improve, and Succeed: Recent Evidence on the Importance of School Climate for Teacher Success," in *Teaching in Context: How Social Aspects* of School and School Systems Shape Teachers' Development & Effectiveness (Cambridge: Harvard Education Press, 2017): 15–35.

³Anthony S. Bryk et al., Organizing Schools for Improvement: Lessons from Chicago (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2010); Matthew A. Kraft and John P. Papay, "Can Professional Environments in Schools Promote Teacher Development? Explaining Heterogeneity in Returns to Teaching Experience," Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis 36, no. 4 (2014): 476–500; Papay and Kraft, "Developing Workplaces Where Teachers Stay, Improve, and Succeed"; Matthew Ronfeldt et al., "Teacher Collaboration in Instructional Teams and Student Achievement," American Educational Research Journal 52, no. 3 (2015): 475–514. ⁴Matthew Kraft, John Papay, and Olivia Chi, "Teacher Skill Development: Evidence from Performance Ratings by Principals," Annenberg Institute EdWorkingPaper (2019): 19-97, doi: 10.26300/sad5-cz73.

⁵Simon Burgess, Shenila Rawal, and Eric S. Taylor, "Teacher Peer Observation and Student Test Scores: Evidence from a Field Experiment in English Secondary Schools," *Annenberg Institute EdWorkingPaper* (2019): 19–139, doi: 10.26300/ry5b-g146; C. Kirabo Jackson and Elias Bruegmann, "Teaching Students and Teaching Each Other: The Importance of Peer Learning for Teachers," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 1, no. 4 (2009): 85–108. ⁶Hamilton Lankford, Susanna Loeb, and James Wyckoff, "Teacher Sorting and the Plight of Urban Schools: A Descriptive Analysis," *Education Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 24, no. 1 (2002): 37–62; Eric A. Hanushek, John F. Kain, and Steven G. Rivkin, "Why Public Schools Lose Teachers," *Journal of Human Resources* 39, no. 2 (2004): 326–54.

⁷Nicole S. Simon and Susan Moore Johnson, "Teacher Turnover in High-Poverty Schools: What We Know and Can Do," *Teachers College Record* 117, no. 3 (2015): 1–36. ⁸Wai-Yen Chane et al., "Organizational and Personal Predictors of Teacher Commitment: The Mediating Role of Teacher Efficacy and Identification With School," *America Educational Research Journal* 45, no. 3 (2008): 597–630; Susan Moore Johnson and Sarah E. Birkeland, "Pursuing a 'Sense of Success': New Teachers Explain Their Career Decisions," *American Educational Research Journal* 40, no. 3 (2003): 581–617.

⁹Susan Moore Johnson, Matthew A. Kraft, and John P. Papay, "How Context Matters in High-Need Schools: The Effects of Teachers' Working Conditions on Their Professional Satisfaction and Their Students' Achievement," *Teachers College Record* 114, no. 10 (2012): 1–39.

¹⁰Susan Moore Johnson et al., "Ready to Lead, but How? Teachers' Experiences in High-Poverty Urban Schools," *Teachers College Record* 116, no. 10 (2014): 1–50. Sociology of Education (1999); Amanda Lewis, Race in the Schoolyard: Negotiating the Color Line in Classrooms and Communities (Rutgers University Press, 2003).

²⁰National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, *Monitoring Educational Equity* (Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2019).

²¹Heather J. Hough et al., "Continuous Improvement in Practice" (Stanford, CA: Policy Analysis for California Education, 2017); Linda Darling-Hammond and David N. Plank, "Supporting Continuous Improvement in California's Education System" (Stanford, CA: Policy Analysis for California Education, 2015).

²²Heather J. Hough, Erika Byun, and Laura Mulfinger, "Using Data for Improvement: Learning from the CORE Data Collaborative" (Stanford, CA: Policy Analysis for California Education, 2018).

²³David N. Figlio and Lawrence S. Getzler, "Accountability, Ability, and Disability: Gaming the System" (National Bureau of Economic Research, 2002); Brian A. Jacob and Steven D. Levitt, "Rotten Apples: An Investigation of the Prevalence and Predictors of Teacher Cheating," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 118, no. 3 (2003).

²⁴Susan Brookhart, "The Many Meanings of Multiple Measures," *Educational Leadership* 67, no. 3 (2009); Charles A. DePascale, "Managing Multiple Measures," *Principal* 91, no. 5 (2012).

cont'd from page 35...Why School Climate Matters for Teachers and Students

¹¹Charles T. Clotfelter, Helen F. Ladd, and Jacob L. Vigdor, "Teacher-Student Matching and the Assessment of Teacher Effectiveness," *Journal of Human Resources*, 41 (2006): 778–820; Steven G. Rivkin, Eric A. Hanushek, and John F. Kain, "Teachers, Schools, and Academic Achievement," *Econometrica* 73 (2005): 417–58; Jonah E. Rockoff, "The Impact of Individual Teachers of Student Achievement: Evidence from Panel Data," *American Economic Review*, *Papers and Proceedings* 94 (2004): 247–52.

¹²Matthew Ronfeldt, Susanna Loeb, and James Wyckoff,
 "How Teacher Turnover Harms Student Achievement,"
 American Educational Research Journal 50, no. 1 (2013):
 4–36.

¹³Papay and Kraft, "Developing Workplaces Where Teachers Stay, Improve, and Succeed"; Bryk et al., *Organizing Schools for Improvement*; Helen F. Ladd, "Teachers' Perceptions of Their Working Conditions: How Predictive of Planned and Actual Teacher Movement?" *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 33, no. 2 (2011): 235–61.

¹⁴Matthew A. Kraft, William H. Marinell, and Darrick Yee, "School Organizational Contexts, Teacher Turnover, and Student Achievement: Evidence from Panel Data," *American Educational Research Journal* 53, no. 5 (2016): 1411–99.

¹⁵Scott E. Carrell and Mark L. Hoekstra, "Externalities in the Classroom: How Children Exposed to Domestic Violence Affect Everyone's Kids," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 2, no. 1 (2010): 211–28.

¹⁶Anthony S. Bryk et al., Organizing Schools for Improvement: Lessons from Chicago (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2010).

¹⁷Will Dobbie and Roland G. Fryer, "Getting Beneath the Veil of Effective Schools: Evidence from New York City," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 5, no. 4 (2013): 28–60.

¹⁸Matthew A. Kraft et al., "Educating Amid Uncertainty: The Organizational Supports Teachers Need to Serve Students in High-Poverty, Urban Schools," *Educational Administration Quarterly* 51, no. 5 (December 2015): 753–90; John P. Papay et al., "Learning Job Skills from Colleagues at Work: Evidence from a Field Experiment Using Teacher Performance Data," *National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper*, no. w21986 (2016), doi: 10.3386/w21986. ¹⁹Kraft et al., "Educating Amid Uncertainty."

²⁰Stephen W. Raudenbush, "Magnitude of Teacher Expectancy Effects on Pupil IQ as a Function of the Credibility of Expectancy Induction: A Synthesis of Findings from 18 Experiments," *Journal of Educational Psychology* 76, no. 1 (1984): 85.

²¹Donald Boyd et al., "The Influence of School Administrators on Teacher Retention Decisions," *American Educational Research Journal* 48, no. 2 (April 2011): 303–33.
²²Jackson and Bruegmann, "Teaching Students and Teaching Each Other."

cont'd from page 47...School Discipline Reform

International Research, Case Studies, and Concepts for Prevention (New York: Springer, 2013). ¹⁸Heather A. Turner, David Finkelhor, and Richard Ormrod, "Child Mental Health Problems as Risk Factors for Victimization," *Child Maltreatment* 15, no. 2 (2009): 132–43.

¹⁹Chriqui et al., "Using State Policy to Create Healthy Schools."